Mark D. Eibert (Bar # 116570) Attorney at Law P. O. Box 1126 2 Half Moon Bay, CA 94019-1126 Telephone: (650) 638-2380 3 Fax: (650) 712-8377 4 Attorney for: Defendant Daniel Rangel 5 6 7 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 8 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 9 10 11 CR NO. 07-00788 JF (NC) UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, 12 13 Plaintiff, **UNOPPOSED** MOTION FOR EXTENSION vs. 14 OF TIME TO FILE TWO PAGE LETTER BRIEF RE OUTSTANDING DISCOVERY DANIEL RANGEL, 15 ISSUES, AND Defendant. 16 [PROPOSED] ORDER THEREFORE 17 18 On February 23, 2012, the Court issued an Order setting a variety of dates for case 19 management purposes. One of the items in this Order stated that "on March 7, 2012, Defendants 20 may file a two-page letter brief raising any outstanding discovery issues. The government may 21 file a two-page letter brief in response on March 14, 2012. No reply brief shall be filed." 22 Docket # 442. The next date after that is the status conference and hearing on motion to dismiss 23 set for March 21. 24 Undersigned counsel was under the mistaken impression that the March 7 date had been 25 moved along with and in tandem with the movement of other motions dates. In addition, there 26 has been a considerable amount of paper and electronic discovery produced immediately before 27 and also after the last hearing, up to and including the voluntary and cooperative production by 28

the government just today (March 7) of one of the previously disputed items I had requested. I am not sure how many, if any, discovery issues remain outstanding between the government and Mr. Rangel, and it is quite possible that there are none. However, in order to be certain I need more time to review the discovery I have received since the last hearing and discuss it with the government. Additionally, yesterday and today I was in Pasadena for an oral argument in a Ninth Circuit case (Flournoy v. Small), and was unable to work on this matter.

Accordingly, I respectfully request an extension of time to file a letter brief of up to two pages from Wednesday March 7 to Monday, March 12. Similarly, I request that the deadline for the government to respond be extended to March 19. No replies would be allowed. This would still have such matters, if any, brought to the Court's attention two days prior to the upcoming hearing.

The prosecutor, Mr. Nedrow, has graciously indicated that he has no objection to this request.

A proposed Order is below.

March 7, 2012

Respectfully submitted,

<u>/s/ Mark D. Eibert</u>
Mark D. Eibert

Attorney for Defendant Daniel Rangel

ORDER

Good cause appearing therefore, it is hereby ORDERED that defendant Daniel Rangel shall have until March 12, 2012 to file a a letter brief not to exceed two pages raising any outstanding discovery issues. The government may file a two-page letter brief in response by March 19. No reply brief shall be filed.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: March _____, 2012

fucy H. Koh

UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

MOTION FOR EXTENSION OF TIME AND [PROPOSED] ORDER, U.S. V. AMIT EZYONI, CR NO. 07-00788 JF (NC)